

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/650,468 | 08/28/2003 | Daniel Santhouse | 884.0147USU | 7100 |
| 47545 STEVEN A. G | 7590 06/04/2007 ARNER, ESO. | EXAMINER | | |
| CONAIR ĊOR | PORATION | PHILOGENE, PEDRO | | |
| ONE CUMMINGS POINT ROAD STAMFORD, CT 06902 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3733 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | | | 06/04/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | H | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/650,468 | SANTHOUSE ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MANUAL DATE AND | Pedro Philogene | 3733 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence ac | ldress | | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133) | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ma | arch 2007. | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | p p | | | e merits is | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 5,7-14,28-32 and 35 is/are pending in | the application. | • | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>5,7-14,28-32,35</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 8)[| | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 111 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | | |
| ' ') | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ammer, Note the attached Office | Action of form P | 10-152. | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | " | d in this National | Stage | | | |
| * (| application from the International Bureau | • | | | | | |
| " (| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | u. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | | |

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

6) Other: ____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Application/Control Number: 10/650,468

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Objections

Claims 14-35 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim "14" should be -13--, and claims "35" should be -34--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,7-14,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong et al. (6,881,928) in view of Glucksman (6,084,210).

Wong discloses an ionic hair setting assembly (10) comprising a housing (48,51,53) having one or more heating element (52) enclosed therein, one or more heatable hair roller (20,22,24) cooperative with the one or more heating elements (52), the one or more hair rollers (20,22,24) having at least one thermally insulated end, as set forth in column 3, lines 3-54; and a temperature sensitive visual indicator associated with at least one of the one or more heatable hair rollers; as set forth in column 3, lines 1-67, column 4, lines 1-11, and as best seen in FIGS.1-5.

It is noted that Wong et al did not teach of a lid having two sections and rollers having inner conductive surface, outer non-conductive material and a temperature sensitive visual indicator; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Glucksman evidences the use of a hair curler set with electric heater having such characteristics to

allow easy access to the housing, reduce the size of the hair curlers, reduce injury to the user and decrease the time the hair curler reach a desired temperature.

Page 3

Therefore, given the teaching of Glucksman, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device the device of Wong et al, as taught by Glucksman to allow easy access to the housing, reduce the size of the hair curlers, reduce injury to the user and decrease the time the hair curler reach a desired temperature.

Claims 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong et al. (6,881,928) in view of Fleit et al. (2,748,952).

Wong discloses an ionic hair setting assembly (10) comprising a housing (48,51,53) having one or more heating element (52) enclosed therein, one or more heatable hair roller (20,22,24) cooperative with the one or more heating elements (52), the one or more hair rollers (20,22,24) having at least one thermally insulated end, as set forth in column 3, lines 3-54; and a temperature sensitive visual indicator associated with at least one of the one or more heatable hair rollers; as set forth in column 3, lines 1-67, column 4, lines 1-11, and as best seen in FIGS.1-5.

It is noted that Wong et al did not teach of a base that can move relative to the housing; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Fleit et al evidences the use of a housing that can move or tilt on a base to provide means for a housing at any desired angular position and thereby allowing the users enable to use his/her hands freely.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,468 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

Therefore, given the teaching of Wong et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the housing and base of Wong et al, as taught by Fleit et al to provide means for a housing at any desired angular position and thereby allowing the users enable to use his/her hands freely.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong et al. (6,881,928) in view of Buchanan (4,243,061).

Wong discloses an ionic hair setting assembly (10) comprising a housing (48,51,53) having one or more heating element (52) enclosed therein, one or more heatable hair roller (20,22,24) cooperative with the one or more heating elements (52), the one or more hair rollers (20,22,24) having at least one thermally insulated end, as set forth in column 3, lines 3-54; and a temperature sensitive visual indicator associated with at least one of the one or more heatable hair rollers; as set forth in column 3, lines 1-67, column 4, lines 1-11, and as best seen in FIGS.1-5.

It is noted that Wong et al did not teach of a steam mechanism; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Buchanan evidences the use of a device having electrical and steam mechanism to provide curling and waving in a single device.

Therefore, given the teaching of Wong et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Wong et al, as taught by Buchanan, to provide curling and waving in a single device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3733

The indicated allowability of the claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Glucksman/Fleit/Buchanan. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

DES.323,041

1-1992

Montagnino et al

Montagnino et al disclose a lid portion having two sections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,468

Art Unit: 3733

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene May 28, 2007 PEDRO PHILOGENE
PRIMARY FXAMINER